# FY 2005 RANKING CRITERIA WORKSHEET- GRAZING LANDS \_\_\_\_\_ FIELD OFFICE

Applicant:		Farm No	Tract No.	_ c	CMS Field No's.		Date:	
Fribal Land	Non-Tribal Land	d	F	Prelimin	nary Rating	Final Rating _		

#### 1. Plants - \_\_60\_ Potential Points

Note: Instructions of	on separate sheet	% Area in Contrac Treatment		, , , , , , ,	in Con Treatme		t After	Potential Points	Bench- mark Points	After Points
Rangelands:	SI of 76-1 00 w/trend	d up or not apparent	%	+	+	_ =	%	60		
Ecological	SI of 51-75 with upw	ard trend	%	+	+	_ =	%	50		
Site	SI of 51-75 with dow	nward trend	%	+	+	_ =	%	40		
Similarity	SI of 26-50 with upw	ard trend	%	+	+	_ =	%	30		
Index	SI of 26-50 with dow	nward trend	%	+	+	_ =	%	20		
(SI)*	SI of 0-25 with upwa	rd trend	%	+	+	_ =	%	10		
	SI of 0-25 with down	ward trend	%	+	+	_ =	%	0		
Riparian:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	% Quality Bench Mark:	%	% Qualit	y After:		%	60		
Grazed Forest:	NA	% Quality Bench Mark:	%	% Qualit	y After:		%	60		
		1. Plants Total	100%	Total			100%	Total:		

### 2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - \_\_220\_ Potential Points

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.	Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
Soil			
Classic Gully, Sheet & Rill, Streambank	10		
Critical Area Planting - 342	10		
Grade Stabilization Structure - 410	10		
Steambank and ShorelineProtection	10		
Other Related Practices: 348,548	2		
Water Quantity			
Excessive Runnoff, Ponding, Sub Surface Water			
Brush Management Light	20		
Brush Management Medium	40		
Brush Management Heavy	60		
Water Quality			
Exessive Suspended Sediment and Turbidity			
Riparian Forest Buffer - 392	10		
Tree And Shrub Establishment - 612	10		
Windbreak / Shelterbelt Renovation - 650	10		
Plants			
Adapted or Suited, Productivity, Health, Vigor, and Forage Quality			
Prescribed Burning - 338	10		
Fence - 382	10		
Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment - 548	5		
Range Planting - 550	5		
Other Related Practices : 326	1		

## FY 2005 RANKING CRITERIA WORKSHEET- GRAZING LANDS \_\_\_\_\_ FIELD OFFICE

Animals		
Domestic and Wildlife Cover / Shelter and Inadiquate Food / Water		
Water Development (Single Pasture)	30	
Water Development (Two Pasture)	40	
Water Development (Three or More Pastures)	50	
Practices Would Include 516,378,533,574,614,642,575 (1 Point Each)	7	
2. Conservation Practice Selection	Total:	

#### 3. Other Considerations - \_50\_ Potential Points

Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to <u>recommend</u> based on LWG advice, please include them as item E.	Potential Points	Bench- mark Points	After Points
A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced.	5		
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	5		
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned sec. 319 project.	5		
D. The land is within a NMED designated Category I watershed.	5		
E. An Extensive Grazing System Will Be Initiated (Rested >70% of the Time During Grazing Season)	30		
F. An Extensive Grazing System Will Be Initiated (Rested 25% to 70% of the Time During Grazing Season)	20		
3. Other Considerations	Total:		

Total Points (After minus Benchmark): Se	ection 1 Section 2	_ Section 3	* Total for Worksheet*
*A minimum of50 total points is red	quired to be considered for co	ntract selection.	
	. <del></del>		
Designated Conservationist	Date		Revised Nov. 2004